Graphical Abstract

- Total RNA isolation and mRNA preparation
- Sequencing of TCRαβ repertoire using Illumina MiSeq system
- A new model to analyze TCRαβ repertoire

The response of the TCRαβ repertoire to stimulation is dominated by cross-reactive public clones
The zebrafish provides a platform to study the relationship between cross-reactivity, protective immunity and autoimmune pathology

Highlights
- We study the response of the zebrafish TCRαβ repertoire to antigenic stimulation
- The zebrafish TCRαβ repertoire is dominated by cross-reactive public clones
- Public T cells facilitate the rapid generation of protective T cell immunity
- The zebrafish provides a model to study the T cell response at a systems level

In Brief
The T cell receptor (TCR) controls the adaptive immune response, but our understanding of the TCR repertoire is incomplete. Covacu et al. study the zebrafish TCR repertoire and its response to self and foreign antigens and find that public cross-reactive clones dominate the TCR repertoire, facilitating the rapid generation of T cell immunity.
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SUMMARY

The T cell receptor (TCR) controls the cellular adaptive immune response to antigens, but our understanding of TCR repertoire diversity and response to challenge is still incomplete. For example, TCR clones shared by different individuals with minimal alteration to germline gene sequences (public clones) are detectable in all vertebrates, but their significance is unknown. Although small in size, the zebrafish TCR repertoire is controlled by processes similar to those operating in mammals. Thus, we studied the zebrafish TCR repertoire and its response to stimulation with self and foreign antigens. We found that cross-reactive public TCRs dominate the T cell response, endowing a limited TCR repertoire with the ability to cope with diverse antigenic challenges. These features of vertebrate public TCRs might provide a mechanism for the rapid generation of protective T cell immunity, allowing a short temporal window for the development of more specific private T cell responses.

INTRODUCTION

The T cell repertoire, constituted by the pool of T cell receptor (TCR) specificities, governs the ability of the immune system to respond to both foreign and self-derived immune challenges (Linnemann et al., 2013; Newell and Davis, 2014; Nikolicz-Zugich et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2009). Ninety five percent of the TCRs are composed of an α and β protein chain. The antigen specificity of the TCR is primarily determined by the CDR3 of α and β chains (Rudolph et al., 2006), which interacts with the peptide MHC complex (Davis and Bjorkman, 1988). Indeed, the majority of TCR variation is localized in the third complementarity-determining region (CDR3) as a result of the recombination of variable (V), diversity (D), and joining (J) segments and the incorporation of multiple nucleotide insertions and deletions. Thus, the study of CDR3 sequences provides information about the fraction of the TCR repertoire relevant for antigen recognition. However, the complexity and dynamics of the TCR repertoire remain unknown because of the limited power of the tools used for its investigation.

Previous studies estimated CDR3 D based on the analysis of a relatively small number of T cells. These studies are based on a solution for the “unseen species problem” developed to estimate the total number of species in a given population based on random samples of species (Efron and Thisted, 1976; Fisher et al., 1943). This method assumes that the number of TCR clones follows a Poisson distribution, however, recent studies found a power law distribution instead (Weinstein et al., 2009). Indeed, studies based on the sequencing of small T cell samples produced estimates of TCR D that were directly proportional to the number of sequences analyzed, suggesting that these methods do not capture the complete TCR repertoire D (Freeman et al., 2009). Even when advanced methods are used to study the TCR repertoire, these methods are still limited by their lack of consideration of tissue resident T cells (Burzyn et al., 2013; Park and Kupper, 2015). Because of these limitations, it is still unclear what fraction of the potential T cell repertoire is expressed, and how similar are the repertoires of different individuals in the quiescent state and during the course of an immune response. In addition, TCR sequences shared by different individuals (termed public TCR sequences) are detected in all vertebrates in multiple biological contexts, a surprising finding when the number of potential unique CDR3 sequences generated by VDJ recombination is considered (McBerry et al., 2012; Venturi et al., 2008). However, the significance of public
Figure 1. Sequencing of the Zebrafish TCR Repertoire

(A) Flow diagram of the experimental protocols used to sequence the zebrafish TCRβ1 (and TCRα) repertoire. mRNA was purified from whole zebrafish, each fish separately. The RT was performed using an oligo dT primer, and the 5’ RACE was obtained using oligonucleotide IIA Oligo. The library amplification was done using a primer specific for the Cβ1 or Cα region (Cβ1/a region primer) and a primer complementary to IIA Oligo (5’ PCR primer IIA). The library was gel purified and barcodes were added. The library was quantified and sequenced.

(B) Verification of TCRβ1 sequences obtained after the library amplification step. There were five clones that were sequenced and the top hits of the blasted sequences are presented.

(legend continued on next page)
TCRs on the repertoire, as well as their response to stimulation is unknown.

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) is an ideal immunological model system to study the TCR repertoire because its adaptive immune system shares important features with its mammalian counterpart. Examples of these shared elements are the presence of a recombination activating gene (RAG), a combinatorial rearrangement of V, D, and J gene segments, junctional D during recombination and somatic hypermutation (Lieschke and Trede, 2009; Trede et al., 2004). In addition, the number of T cells in the zebrafish has been approximated to about $2 \times 10^6$ cells, a $10^3$-fold lower number compared to the T cell numbers found in mice. Therefore, in contrast to TCR sequencing studies performed in mammals using isolated T cell populations, the zebrafish offers the possibility to perform far more complete immune repertoire studies. In this work, we combine the experimental advantages offered by the zebrafish with high-coverage sequencing and computational approaches to investigate the full diversity of the TCR repertoire under homeostatic conditions and its response to challenge with self and non-self antigens.

RESULTS

The TCR $\beta$-chain repertoire provides an accurate representation of TCR diversity (Miles et al., 2011). Moreover, although two C-region TCR $\beta$-chain genes have been identified in the zebrafish, Cj1 and Cj2, transcripts of the Cj2 segment are very rare (Meeker et al., 2010). Thus, we focused our efforts on the analysis of the zebrafish TCR$\beta$1 repertoire. To analyze the TCR repertoire in zebrafish, we developed a method for TCR library generation from whole zebrafish mRNA based on 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) amplification from a single primer annealing to the constant TCR region (Douek et al., 2002) (Figure 1A). This method uses a single constant region (C-region) and a 5’-anchor primer rather than multiple J or V region primers to avoid differential PCR amplification efficiencies and subsequent library bias (Boyd et al., 2009; Robins et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010). To confirm the specificity of the method, we cloned and sequenced the amplification products. We found that 100% of the amplification products correspond to TCR$\beta$1 sequences, demonstrating that this amplification method utilizing a single C-region primer is specific (Figure 1B).

To analyze the number of sequences required to provide a comprehensive coverage of the TCR$\beta$1 repertoire with our sequencing strategy, we performed rarefaction studies using partial samples of the full TCR$\beta$1 sequencing data. We found that the total number of V(D)J combinations detected was asymptotic toward saturation, with all of the expressed V(D)J repertoire predicted to be detected by sampling 35,000 sequences or more (Figure 1C).

Small TCR$\beta$1 Repertoire in Adult Zebrafish

The number of potential TCR combinatorial possibilities exceeds the total number of peripheral T cells in an individual (Davis and Bjorkman, 1988), suggesting that only a fraction of the potential TCR repertoire is actually expressed. Indeed, the repertoire of unique $\alpha\beta$ TCRs has been estimated at $\sim 10^3$ clones in the human (Astilla et al., 1999) and $\sim 10^6$ in the mouse (Casrouge et al., 2000), a small fraction of the $10^{15}$–$10^{20}$ unique $\alpha\beta$ TCRs repertoire that could be potentially generated by these mammalian immune systems. To determine the fraction of the potential TCR$\beta$1 repertoire expressed by zebrafish, we first constructed a computational model of TCR recombination in the zebrafish based on available sequences and our own data on V(D)J recombination, deletions, insertions, and substitutions in TCR$\beta$1 sequences (Figure S1). This simulation, which considers the biophysical properties of recombination, asymptotically estimates an upper limit of 400,000 unique sequences. Because of the limitations imposed by the biophysical features of the recombination process, this estimate is smaller than the $10^{9}$–$10^{20}$ sequences that could result from all the potential V(D)J combinations (Benichou et al., 2012).

We then used our computational model to simulate the TCR$\beta$1 repertoire for ten individual fish, considering not only the number of unique sequences detected, but also, the frequency of these sequences in the total TCR$\beta$1 repertoire. Surprisingly, the model predicted a TCR$\beta$1 repertoire consisting of only 40 unique TCR$\beta$1 clones per fish. This low predicted number is comparable to the zebrafish TCR repertoire detected in our sequencing efforts, in which we detected 49–599 unique TCR$\beta$1 CDR3 sequences per individual fish (Figure 1D). This small number of unique T cell clones is in agreement with previous studies of the zebrafish B cell repertoire, which has been estimated to harbor 9–200 unique V(D)J sequences generated by recombination, expanded to 1,200–6,000 antibody clones by somatic hypermutation (Weinstein et al., 2009). Taken together, these data suggest that only a small fraction of the potential TCR$\beta$1 repertoire is actually expressed in adult zebrafish, a fraction significantly lower than the one estimated for the murine and human immune system.

Biased TCR$\beta$1 Repertoire in Naive Zebrafish

The V(D)J recombination system generates a diverse TCR$\beta$1 repertoire based on the stochastic use of V, D, and J gene segments and the deletion and insertion of nucleotides (Davis and Bjorkman, 1988; Fujimoto and Yamagishi, 1987; Malissen et al., 1986; Okazaki et al., 1987; Tonegawa, 1983). Although each V, D, and J segment has a theoretically equal chance of being incorporated into a mature TCR, the murine and human TCR repertoires are not evenly distributed and specific V, D, and

(C) Rarefaction analysis of TCR$\beta$1 D. Each curve gives the fraction of the observed repertoire as a function of the number of obtained sequences in each of ten naive (non-immunized) fish. The dotted line indicates the point at which all fish reach 99% coverage of the total unique sequences, corresponding to 35,000 sequences.

(D) Number of unique clones in ten naive fish and ten simulated repertoires. The circle indicates the median. The small number of sequences in the simulated repertoire results form adherence to the distribution of clones within each fish. The abundance of specific clones (learned from the natural occurring repertoire in the naive fish) dictates a distribution with a few highly represented clones.

(E) Heatmaps of V and J combination abundance in the total and the unique repertoire of naive zebrafish. The data are segregated based on the usage of the D segment.
J genes are used more often (Argaet et al., 1994; Cibotti et al., 1994; Moss et al., 1991). Thus, we studied the TCR\(b\) repertoire in ten naive zebrafish for V, D, and J usage bias. We analyzed between 97,503 and 232,193 sequences per fish, a number significantly higher than the 35,000 sequences required to cover the whole TCR\(b\) repertoire. Each sequence was aligned with reference sequences (Howe et al., 2013; Kettleborough et al., 2013) to identify specific V, D, and J genes, and then the frequency of each V and J combination, including or not the D segment, was estimated (Turner et al., 2006). We found that almost all possible V and J pairs were used in the zebrafish TCR\(b\) repertoire when sequences containing the D segment were analyzed (Figure 1E). However, only a subset of pairs was utilized when the D segment was not included, suggesting that only a limited set of available VJ combinations overcomes the limitations imposed by the 12/23 rule (Akira et al., 1987; Yancopoulos et al., 1986). These data suggest that biases in gene segment usage characterize the zebrafish TCR\(b\) repertoire. In addition, the analysis of the total repertoire, that is the collection of TCR\(b\) unique sequences adjusted for their frequency, revealed the over-representation of specific V and J pairs (Figure 1E), suggesting that their expansion results from antigenic stimulation.

**Convergent Recombination Drives the Generation of Zebrafish Public TCR Clones**

Public T cell clones express TCR sequence motifs shared by different individuals and are often expanded by immunization, infection, or autoimmunity (McBerry et al., 2012; Venturi et al., 2008). To study the role of public repertoires in zebrafish, we defined a public sequence as one appearing in at least two different individuals, as previously defined in other studies (Li et al., 2012). We found that public clones represent 36% of the total TCR\(b\) CDR3 nucleotide sequences and 40% of the amino acid sequences (Figure 2A). Conversely, our computational model predicted no sharing of TCR sequences between individual fish (p < 10\(^{-15}\)). Thus, the zebrafish TCR\(b\) repertoire contains a relatively low number of unique sequences, many of which are shared between different individuals.

To study the genetic mechanisms involved in the generation of public T cell clones, we analyzed the frequency of recombination events in public and private TCR\(b\) sequences and their association with their sharing. The height of the histogram bars represents the frequency of the clone in the pooled repertoire (red, public and blue, private). We found that almost all possible V and J pairs were used in the zebrafish TCR\(b\) repertoire. In addition, the analysis of the total repertoire, that is the collection of TCR\(b\) unique sequences adjusted for their frequency, revealed the over-representation of specific V and J pairs (Figure 1E), suggesting that their expansion results from antigenic stimulation.

**Figure 2. Convergent Recombination Characterizes Public TCR Clones that Dominate the Zebrafish TCR\(b\) Repertoire**

(A) Observed and predicted public and private TCR\(b\) sequences in naïve fish.

(B) Recombination events in the private and in the public TCR\(b\) repertoires and their association with their sharing. The height of the histogram bars represents the frequency of the clone in the pooled repertoire (red, public and blue, private).

(C) Statistical analysis of recombination events in public and private TCR\(b\) clones (**p < 1 \times 10^{-15}\).

(D) Distribution of CDR3 lengths in the private and public TCR\(b\) clones.

(E) Fraction of public and private TCR\(b\) clones within the unique TCR\(b\) repertoire. The fraction of sequences generated by convergent recombination is shown (**p < 0.01).
events in public and private TCRβ1 sequences. We found significantly fewer recombination events in public TCRβ1 sequences (Figures 2B and 2C), in agreement with previous reports of public TCRs being closer to germline configurations (Ishizuka et al., 2008; Miles et al., 2010; Vermijen et al., 2010). Of note, public and private clones do not differ in their CDR3 length (Figure 2D), suggesting that the reduction in recombination events in public clones is not a byproduct of shorter CDR3 sequences.

Convergent recombination, the process by which multiple recombination events produce the same nucleotide sequence and multiple nucleotide sequences encode the same amino-acid sequence, is considered an important driving force in the generation of public T cell responses (Quigley et al., 2010; Venturi et al., 2009). To study the contribution of convergent recombination in zebrafish public T cell responses, we searched for identical TCRβ1 amino acid sequences originating from different nucleotide sequences in naive zebrafish. We found a significant contribution of convergent recombination to the public TCR repertoire of naive fish. Four percent of the amino acid sequences in the unique TCRβ1 repertoire are produced by convergent recombination (Figure 2E, left). Strikingly, 65% of TCRβ1 sequences generated by convergent recombination are public (Figure 2E, left), suggesting that convergent recombination plays a significant role during the generation of public TCRβ1 sequences. Indeed, 17% of the public TCRβ1 sequences in the unique repertoire were generated by convergent recombination, as opposed to 2% in private sequences (Figure 2E, right). Taken together, these data show that convergent recombination drives the generation of the public repertoire in naive zebrafish.

**Antigenic Stimulation Expands Public TCRβ1 Clones**

The frequency of a specific TCR in the total repertoire reflects the number of T cells bearing that specific TCR and the amount of mRNA produced by each T cell, both of which are controlled by the stimulation of T cells by their cognate antigen. The size of public clones in the naive total TCRβ1 repertoire was directly correlated with their usage by different individuals (Figure 3A), suggesting that the same clones are expanded in different individuals in response to antigenic stimulation. Thus, to study the effect of antigenic stimulation on private and public T cell responses, we analyzed the TCRβ1 repertoire 21 days after immunization of naive zebrafish with the self-antigen calmodulin (CALM; Figure S2A), the non-self antigen keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH), or administration of the common polyclonal stimulus lectin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PHA). Only 16% of the TCRβ1 clones expanded by PHA administration were expanded by immunization with KLH or CALM, suggesting that PHA activates a larger number of TCRβ1 bearing T cells than protein antigens (data not shown).

We then investigated the effect of immunization on the public TCRβ1 repertoire. Immunization with KLH or CALM or polyclonal activation with PHA expanded public clones in the unique and the total TCRβ1 repertoire (Figures 3B and 3C). Indeed, our analyses identified two groups within the public clones: general public clones, consisting of TCRβ1 sequences shared by all immunization groups, and special public clones, consisting of TCRβ1 sequences shared only by fish that received the same antigenic stimulation. Special public clones were detected in the unique and total TCRβ1 repertoire following KLH and CALM immunization and also PHA treatment (Figures 3B and 3C). However, immunization with the self-antigen CALM resulted in higher special public responses, suggesting that public TCRβ1 clones are enriched for self-reactive elements. Immunization did not affect TCR D, as indicated by the analysis of the Gini coefficient (Figure S3A). Thus, immunization with self and non-self antigens stimulates public T cell responses, which are partially cross-reactive because 41% of the public TCRβ1 expanded by CALM were also expanded by KLH immunization.

The expansion of the public repertoire in response to immunization resulted in part from the expansion of TCRβ1 T cell clones identified as public in naive zebrafish and also from the sharing of TCRβ1 sequences previously identified as private in naive zebrafish (Figures 3D and 3E). Interestingly, most of the public T cell clones in the unique and the total TCRβ1 repertoire, both general and specific, were generated by convergent recombination (Figures 3F, 3G, and S3B). Taken together, these data identify convergent recombination as an important mechanism for the generation of public clones responsive to self and foreign antigens.

We then investigated the origin of public clones. We found that public clones in KLH-immunized fish or those treated with PHA originated mostly from low-frequency clones in naive zebrafish (Figures 4A and 4B). However, public clones in CALM-immunized fish originated from both high- and low-frequency clones in naive zebrafish, suggesting that self-reactive public T clones are major components of the adult T cell repertoire in naive fish.

**Time Course Analysis of the TCRβ1 Repertoire in Response to Immunization**

To further elucidate the effect of antigenic stimulation on the T cell response, we analyzed the TCRβ1 repertoire 14, 21, and 28 days after immunization of naive zebrafish with PHA, KLH, and CALM. In these experiments, the zebrafish were boosted by immunization at day 14. Special public TCRβ1 clones were identified at all time points after immunization or PHA administration (Figure 5). In agreement with our previous findings, the T cell response to PHA stimulation or immunization with KLH or CALM was dominated by public TCRβ1 clones generated by convergent recombination (Figures S3C–S3F). However, the clonal responses induced by the different stimuli showed differences in their kinetics. In KLH-immunized and PHA-treated fish, the frequency of special public TCRβ1 clones peaked 14 days after treatment. Immunization with CALM resulted in higher frequencies of both general and special public clones (Figure 5). However, the peak in the number of special public clones expanded by CALM immunization was delayed and was only observed 1 week after boosting, probably reflecting the need for additional antigenic stimulation needed to break self-tolerance (Figure 5). Of note, immunizations over time had no effect on TCRβ1 D, as measured by the Gini coefficient (Figure S3G).

**Antigen Stimulation Expands Public Clones in the TCRα Repertoire**

A diverse repertoire has also been described for the zebrafish TCRα TCRs (Haire et al., 2000). Thus, we analyzed the TCRα repertoire using a primer specific for the C-region of the TCRα chain as...
described in Figure 1A. This method was specific because 100% of the amplification products corresponded to TCRα sequences (Figure 6A). Similarly to our observations on the TCRβ1 repertoire, we found that the size of public clones in the naive total TCRα repertoire was directly correlated with their usage by different individuals (Figure 6B).

We then investigated the response of the TCRα repertoire to immunization with KLH or CALM or to PHA administration. Immunization with CALM or KLH or PHA administration did not affect the number of TCRα unique sequences (Figure S4). Sequence sharing in the TCRα repertoire, however, is at least as strong as that detected in TCRβ1 repertoire, with most TCRα clones being general public sequences (Figures 6C and 6D). We also identified special public TCRα clones following immunization, which were more prevalent in the KLH-immunized fish (Figure 6D). Taken together, these observations suggest that public TCRα sequences in the naive repertoire are expanded in response to antigenic stimulation.

Figure 4. Origin of General Public TCRβ1 Clones
(A) TCRβ1 general public sequences in the naive repertoire, ranked according to their frequency. The lower images show general public sequences in the TCRβ1 repertoire of fish in the PHA, KLH, and CALM groups.
(B) To analyze the origin of general public sequences detected in the immunized repertoire, sequences were classified in the naive TCRβ1 repertoire into low-, mid-, and high-abundant clones and then quantified for the fraction of these groups in the immunized repertoire.

Figure 3. Public Clones Dominate the TCRβ1 Repertoire
(A) Relationship between sequence sharing between different individuals and the number of copies of each TCRβ1 clone. The orange dot for each sharing level gives the average frequency of clones at that specific sharing level.
(B) Contribution of private, general public, and special public sequences to the unique (B) and total (C) TCRβ1 repertoire following immunization with KLH, CALM, or polyclonal stimulation with PHA.
(D) Sharing of TCRβ1 sequences between the different groups. Each group occupies the same fraction of the circle, regardless of repertoire size, while in (E), each sequence occupies the same portion of the circle. The circle is colored based on whether the TCRβ1 clone is private (blue), special public (red), or general public (green). The edges represent sequences shared between each two groups.
(F and G) Fraction of TCRβ1 clones generated by convergent recombination in the unique repertoire (F) and the total (G) TCRβ1 public repertoire.
We detected a large percentage of clones generated by convergent recombination in the unique TCRζ repertoire across all the immunization and treatment groups (Figure 6E), while in the total repertoire, the percentages were even higher, suggesting that T cell clones bearing TCRζ public sequences are larger (Figure 6F). Similar to our previous observations with TCRβ public clones, TCRζ public clones were mostly generated by CR (Figures 6G–6J). Collectively, these observations suggest that the TCRβ repertoire follows the same rules that we described for the TCRβ, being dominated by public clones, some of which are expanded by antigenic stimulation.

Finally, based on the frequency of each TCRζ and TCRβ zebrafish clone detected in our sequencing efforts, we constructed a probabilistic model of all potential TCRζβ combinations (Figure 7); a list of the most frequent TCRζ and TCRβ sequences used in the construction of the model is provided in Table S2. Based on this model, we estimate that the 2 × 10^5 T cells present in an adult zebrafish contain at least unique 1.5 × 10^6 TCRζβ pairs, present in low frequency in the zebrafish TCRζβ repertoire. It should be noted that this is a lower estimate and TCRζβ repertoire follows the same rules that we described for the TCRβ, being dominated by public clones, some of which are expanded by antigenic stimulation.

We detected a large percentage of clones generated by convergent recombination in the unique TCRζ repertoire across all the immunization and treatment groups (Figure 6E), while in the total repertoire, the percentages were even higher, suggesting that T cell clones bearing TCRζ public sequences are larger (Figure 6F). Similar to our previous observations with TCRβ public clones, TCRζ public clones were mostly generated by CR (Figures 6G–6J). Collectively, these observations suggest that the TCRζ repertoire follows the same rules that we described for the TCRβ, being dominated by public clones, some of which are expanded by antigenic stimulation.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we analyzed the zebrafish TCRβ1 and TCRζ repertoire and its response to immunization with self and non-self antigens. We found that the zebrafish TCR repertoire is small and biased toward the use of certain V-J combinations, in a similar manner to what is known from partial repertoire analyses in other vertebrates (Miles et al., 2011). Moreover, the analysis of the TCR repertoire revealed the over-representation of specific V-J pairs, suggestive of clonal expansion in response to antigenic stimulation. These observations suggest that the zebrafish TCR repertoire is shaped by the balance between T cell expansion in response to self and non-self antigens and T cell competition for limited growth and survival factors. In addition, since decreased repertoire diversity is linked to impaired T cell immunity (Yager et al., 2008), these data suggest that compensatory mechanisms operate in zebrafish to provide protective immunity against pathogens.

Public T cell clones encoded with minimal alteration to germ-line gene sequences characterize the TCR repertoire of vertebrates (McBerry et al., 2012; Venturi et al., 2008). We detected high frequencies of public TCRζβ sequences in the zebrafish. High-frequency sharing of antibody sequences has also been reported in the zebrafish antibody repertoire, which is also characterized by its small size in agreement with our observations on the TCRζβ repertoire (Jiang et al., 2011; Weinstein et al., 2009). Public T cell clones have been shown to contribute to anti-viral immune responses (Miles et al., 2011). We identified public T cell clones as major components of the zebrafish response to immunization. Moreover, we detected a significant overlap in the public TCRζβ sequences expanded in response to self and foreign antigens that share no sequence homology, suggesting that public T cell clones are highly cross-reactive. Cross-reactivity with self antigens plays an important role in the development of the TCR repertoire and T cell responses to foreign antigens (Gimbau et al., 2014; Fulton et al., 2015; Krogsgaard et al., 2005; Mandl et al., 2013; Stefanová et al., 2002). Collectively, these observations suggest that public T cell responses allow a relatively small TCR repertoire to cope with the diverse range of antigens presented by pathogens.

The dominant role of public T cell responses in zebrafish might represent an early step during the evolution of adaptive immunity (Boehm et al., 2012; Cooper and Herrin, 2010; Flajnik and Kasahara, 2010; Guo et al., 2009). However, while the cross-reactivity of public T cell clones could potentially compensate for the small size of the TCR repertoire, it might also increase the risk for the development of pathogenic autoimmunity. Interestingly, a FoxP3 homolog is detectable in zebrafish (Quintana et al., 2010), suggesting that the potential for the development of autoimmunity was co-selected with mechanisms of immune regulation.

Public T cell clones do not constitute a dominant fraction of the TCR repertoire of mice, humans, and other mammals (Miles et al., 2011). However, polyfunctional and cross-reactive public T cell clones are detected in HIV-1 controllers (Chen et al., 2012; Kosmrij et al., 2010), and similar observations have been made in the context of infection with herpes virus (Zhu et al., 2013), as well as shared self-peptide MHC-specific clones in healthy individuals (Yu et al., 2015). Although it is still unknown whether public TCRs directly control the polyfunctionality and
Figure 6. The Zebrafish TCR\(\alpha\) Repertoire

(A) Verification of TCR\(\alpha\) sequences obtained after library amplification, five clones were sequenced, and the top hits of the blasted sequences are presented in the table.
polyreactivity of public T cells (Tubo et al., 2013), these observations suggest that cross-reactive public T cell clones contribute to pathogen control in organisms with larger TCR repertoires. Their restricted D and sharing by different individuals, together with their ability to respond to diverse self and non-self molecules, are features of vertebrate public TCRs that might provide a mechanism for the rapid generation of protective T cell immunity, allowing a short temporal window for the development of more specific private T cell responses.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Fish Maintenance

1-year-old male zebrafish (AB strain) were maintained in a 28–30°C system with a 14/10 hr light/dark cycle in accordance with guidelines by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Harvard Medical School.

Immunization

Fish were anaesthetized using 0.02% Tricaine methanesulfonate (Sigma-Aldrich) and immunized intraperitoneally (i.p.) with a 10 μl emulsion containing 1:1 Complete Freund’s Adjuvant (IFA, Difco Laboratories) and 90% PBS (In-vitrogen), 0.25 μg lipopolysaccharide (ultrapure LPS, InvivoGen), 0.7 μg CpG oligonucleotide ODN 1826 (Invivogen), and 2 μg of either PHA (Sigma-Aldrich), KLH (Sigma-Aldrich), or CALM (Creative BioMart). At 2 weeks later, the fish were boosted with PHA, KLH, or CALM in 1:1 IFA: 90% PBS.

TCRαβ Sequencing and Annotation

Total RNA was extracted from whole fish homogenate and cDNA was generated. cDNA from each of fish was used for TCRα chain library amplification using the 5’ PCR primer IIA from the SMARTer Pico PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech) and the C-region primer (Table S1). The library was gel-purified and barcodes were added using the same reaction as for the library amplification and the primers listed in Table S1.

TCRβ and TCRα annotation was performed by using NCBI BLAST+ to identify the V and J germline genes of a TCR read, and then the CDR3 was determined by finding the conserved cysteine at the 5’ end of the CDR3 and the conserved phenylalanine at the 3’ end of the CDR3.
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